

Xestosaprols from the Indonesian Marine Sponge *Xestospongia* sp.

Jingqiu Dai,[†] Analia Sorribas,[†] Wesley Y. Yoshida,[†] Michelle Kelly,[‡] and Philip G. Williams^{*,†,⊥}


Department of Chemistry, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, The Cancer Research Center of Hawaii, 651 Ilalo Street, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813, and National Centre for Aquatic Biodiversity and Biosecurity, National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research, Ltd, 41 Market Place, Auckland Central 1010, New Zealand

Received March 24, 2010

Eight pentacyclic compounds, xestosaprols F–M (**1**–**8**), were isolated from a marine sponge belonging to the genus *Xestospongia*. The structures of these new compounds were determined on the basis of extensive analyses of NMR experiments and mass spectrometric measurements. These compounds inhibited the aspartic protease BACE1 at moderate levels in a dose-dependent manner.

Marine organisms are rich sources of structurally diverse compounds.^{1–3} These unique secondary metabolites exhibit a diverse array of biological activities ranging from antimicrobial to anticancer.^{4–6} As part of a systematic evaluation of marine invertebrates for new drug leads for neurological disorders, we recently published the isolation of xestosaprols D and E from a species of *Xestospongia* (order Haplosclerida, family Petrosiidae) from Indonesia.⁷ To further our understanding of the factors responsible for their biological activity, we examined several other extracts derived from *Xestospongia* spp. collected in the same Indo-Pacific location for congeners of this structural class. Work with one such extract has now led to the isolation and structure elucidation of eight related compounds, which are reported here, along with their biological activity against BACE1.

A sample of the sponge *Xestospongia* sp., collected from Indonesia, was exhaustively extracted with MeOH. The pooled extracts were subjected to a modified Kupchan partitioning scheme to yield hexane, EtOAc, and *n*-butanol fractions as detailed in the Experimental Section. The EtOAc-soluble material was separated by repeated reversed-phase HPLC to afford xestosaprols F–M (**1**–**8**).



Compound **1**, which was obtained as a yellow solid, displayed a prominent pseudomolecular ion at m/z 367.1540 [M + H]⁺ in the HRMS data, indicating a molecular formula of C₂₂H₂₂O₅ and 12 double-bond equivalents. The IR spectrum of xestosaprol F (**1**) showed strong absorptions for hydroxy (3382 cm⁻¹) and α,β -unsaturated ketone moieties (1649 cm⁻¹). The presence of this latter functional group was also supported by the resonance observed at 178.4 ppm in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. Further analyses of the ¹³C (Table 2) and multiplicity-edited HSQC NMR spectra showed the 22 carbon resonances could be ascribed to five methylenes, seven




Figure 1. Selected ¹H–¹H COSY (bold solid bars) and ¹H–¹³C HMBC (arrows) correlations observed in the spectra of **1**.

methines, and nine quaternary carbons, in addition to a single methyl group. On the basis of chemical shift considerations, 12 of these carbons, in addition to the carbonyl resonance, were sp² hybridized, indicating **1** contained six carbon–carbon double bonds and five rings. The aromaticity of some of these rings was evident by the characteristic downfield shifts observed for five methine resonances in the ¹H NMR spectrum (δ_{H} 9.21, 8.07, 7.51, 7.50, and 6.94).

The planar structure of **1** was assembled through interpretation of the 2D NMR data (Figure 1). Analysis of the COSY spectrum of **1** established three discrete spin systems. The first system (A) was an ethylene glycol subunit comprised of H₂–21 and H₂–22. The second fragment (B) consisted of a linear chain of all the remaining sp³-hybridized methines and methylenes that were identified in the spectroscopic data (H₂–1, H–2, H–3, H₂–4, H₂–5), with C–1 and C–3 deshielded by oxygens on the basis of their low-field chemical shifts ($\delta_{\text{C-1}}$ 72.5 and $\delta_{\text{C-3}}$ 68.6). The final system (C), which was deduced directly from analyses of the COSY spectrum, consisted of three aromatic protons, H–14, H–15, and H–16, in succession. HMBC correlations from the aromatic protons H–11 (δ_{H} 9.21) and H–18 (δ_{H} 8.07), which were singlets, established a central benzene ring consisting of carbons–10, –11, –12, –17, –18, and –19, to which all other residues in the molecule were related. The subsystem C was appended to this ring on the basis of three-bond HMBC correlations from H–18 to C–16 and H–14 to C–12, but required that the oxygenated sp² carbon ($\delta_{\text{C-13}}$ 157.3) was placed in between the latter pair, on the basis of HMBC correlations from H–11 and H–14. A HMBC correlation from H₂–21 to C–13 established the ethylene glycol fragment (A) was attached via an ether linkage to C–13. This larger system was then connected to fragment B on the basis of HMBC correlations from the sole methyl singlet, H₃–20, which in conjunction with correlations observed from H₂–1 and H–2 established the pentacyclic planar structure depicted.

The relative configuration of **1** was established by analyses of the magnitudes of the proton–proton couplings observed to the stereogenic centers and ROESY experiments. ROESY cross-peaks between H–2/H₃–20, H–2/H–4 β (δ_{H} 1.92) and H–20/4 β established the β -orientation of these protons. As the magnitude of the coupling constants observed within this ring were consistent with a chair

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 808-956-5720. Fax: 808-956-5908. E-mail: philipwi@hawaii.edu.

[†] University of Hawaii.

[‡] National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research.

[⊥] Cancer Research Center of Hawaii.

Table 1. ¹H NMR Spectroscopic Data (500 MHz, δ_{H} (J in Hz)) for Compounds **1–5** (MeOH- d_4 for **1–4**, CDCl₃ for **5**)

H#	1	2	3	4	5
1	4.65, t (9.6) 4.63, dd (9.6, 7.2)	4.64, t (9.6) 4.62, dd (9.6, 7.2)	4.73, t (9.6) 4.53, dd (9.6, 6.0)	4.74, t (9.6) 4.49, dd (9.6, 6.0)	4.71, t (9.4) 4.69, dd (9.4, 7.2)
2	3.62, ddd (9.6, 7.2, 3.9)	3.62, ddd (9.6, 7.2, 4.0)	3.39, td (9.6, 6.0)	3.39, td (9.6, 6.0)	3.56, ddd (9.4, 7.2, 4.0)
3	4.06, br dd (3.9, 3.5)	4.07, br d (4.0)	3.51, ddd (10.4, 9.4, 4.4)	3.51, td (9.6, 4.4)	4.13, br d (4.0)
4	1.92, tdd (14.0, 6.2, 3.5) 2.10, m	1.92, m 2.10, m	1.90, ddd (13.5, 4.4, 3.5) 1.98, dddd (13.5, 10.0, 9.6, 3.1)	1.91, m 1.99, m	2.03, m
5	1.86, td (14.0, 4.4) 2.29, dt (14.0, 3.5)	1.89, m 2.29, dt (13.0, 4.5)	1.51, td (13.1, 3.5) 2.52, dt (13.1, 3.2)	1.54, ddd (13.5, 10.0, 3.5) 2.54, dt (13.5, 3.5)	1.87, td (13.0, 3.0) 2.35, dt (13.0, 4.0)
11	9.21, s	9.12, s	9.20, s	8.74, s	8.80, s
13				8.03, d (8.3)	
14	6.94, dd (6.0, 2.5)	6.83, d (7.1)	6.95, dd (7.0, 1.5)	7.55, t (8.3)	7.85, d (8.5)
15	7.51, t (6.0)	7.40, t (7.1)	7.53, t (7.0)	7.62, t (8.3)	7.42, t (8.5)
16	7.50, dd (6.0, 2.5)	7.37, d (7.1)	7.51, dd (7.0, 1.5)	7.96, d (8.3)	6.90, d (8.5)
16-OMe					4.40, s
18	8.07, s	8.02, s	8.08, s	8.16, s	8.40, s
20	1.63, s	1.63, s	1.63, s	1.67, s	1.63, s
21	4.26, t (4.5)		4.26, t (4.7)		
22	4.06, t (4.5)		4.06, t (4.7)		

conformer, the series of small couplings evident for H-3 established an equatorial position on the α -face of the molecule. These observations are consistent with the broad singlet observed for the equatorial proton at C-3 in the previously reported xestosaprol A as well.³

Compound **2** was obtained as a yellow powder. Comparison of the NMR spectra of **2** (Tables 1 and 2) with those of **1** revealed that **2** possessed a similar structure, except for the absence of the resonances corresponding to the ethylene glycol residue observed in **1**. Analysis of the HRMS data for **2** yielded a molecular formula of C₂₀H₁₈O₄, which was C₂H₄O smaller than that observed for **1**. Analysis of the ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra confirmed the loss of this unit and the formation of the corresponding phenolic compound. Therefore, **2** was assigned the trivial name xestosaprol G.

Xestosaprol H (**3**) was clearly an isomer of **1** on the basis of the identical molecular formula (C₂₂H₂₂O₅) obtained by HRESIMS. Comparison of the ¹H, ¹³C, and 2D NMR spectra of **3** (Tables 1 and 2) with those of **1** revealed a notable upfield shift for H-3 (**1** $\delta_{\text{H-3}}$ 4.06; **2** $\delta_{\text{H-3}}$ 3.51) consistent with a configurational change that removed this proton from the deshielding effect of the σ electrons of the β -carbon-carbon bond. This conclusion was confirmed by a NOE correlation between H-3 and H-5 α and the two large three-bond proton-proton couplings displayed by H-3 (Figure 2). These data established that **3** was epimeric to **1** at this position.

The structures of the remaining compounds were determined, in a similar fashion, to differ principally in the configuration at C-3 and the degree/location of oxidation. For example, xestosaprol I (**4**) was 13-deoxy-3-*epi*-xestosaprol G. Xestosaprols J (**5**) and K (**6**) were analogues of **2** that were hydroxylated at C-16 rather than C-13. The former compound was the methyl ether, while the latter

Table 2. ¹H NMR Spectroscopic Data (500 MHz, δ_{H} (J in Hz)) for Compounds **6–8** in MeOH- d_4

H#	6	7	8
1	4.65, t (9.6) 4.63, dd (9.6, 7.2)	4.66, t (9.6) 4.64, dd (9.6, 6.0)	7.70, s
2	3.63, ddd (9.6, 7.2, 4.0)	3.63, ddd (9.6, 6.0, 4.0)	
3	4.08, br d (4.0)	4.07, br s	2.90, br dd (17.0, 7.9) 2.63, br dd (17.0, 8.9)
4	2.11, m 1.93, m	1.93, m 2.11, m	2.19, m 2.32, m
5	1.88, td (13.0, 3.5) 2.29, dt (13.0, 4.2)	1.90, m 2.32, dt (13.5, 3.5)	1.79, td (12.8, 4.2) 2.71, dt (12.8, 3.5)
11	8.65, s	8.75, s	8.80, s
13	7.50, d (8.0)	8.03, d (8.3)	8.03, d (8.3)
14	7.33, t (8.0)	7.54, t (8.3)	7.55, t (8.3)
15	6.93, d (8.0)	7.37, t (8.3)	7.63, t (8.3)
16		7.96, d (8.3)	7.95, d (8.3)
18	8.43, s	8.15, s	8.09, s
20	1.64, s	1.65, s	1.55, s

possessed a free hydroxy group. Compound **7**, xestosaprol L, was the C-3 epimer of **4**. A molecular formula of C₂₀H₁₆O₂ was deduced from the HRMS and ¹³C NMR data of xestosaprol M (**8**). These data established that **8** contained two additional sp² carbons, which were attributed to oxidation of the dihydrofuran ring to the corresponding furan (Figure 3).

In biological screening, xestosaprols F–M showed moderate inhibition of the aspartic protease (Table 4) BACE1 (memapsin-2), which has a central role in the etiology of Alzheimer's disease. Compound **3** displayed the lowest IC₅₀ value at 82 μ M. On the basis of these data, it appears that a β -orientation of the C-3 alcohol is required for activity, as the corresponding epimer **1** is significantly less active.

The ring core found in **1–8** was first identified by Scheuer and co-workers in halenaquinone.⁴ Several analogues have been subsequently reported that differ primarily in the degree of oxidation in the A-⁸ and D-rings,¹ sulfonation,⁹ or the addition of another heterocycle.^{1,10} There are two notable distinctions within this series of new compounds. In all other members of this chemical family the A-ring is substituted with two oxygens at C-16 and C-13. As such, compounds **1–3** and **5–6** are the first examples of a mono-oxygenated A-ring, while **4** and **7–8** are the only examples of dideoxy rings. Second, ethylene glycol derivatives such as **1** and **3** have not been observed previously in this series. In fact, derivatization with this particular two-carbon subunit is rarely encountered in Nature. To the best of our knowledge, pyrotechnic acid¹¹ is the only natural product containing a glycol ether. In this particular case, the linkage is to a sp³ rather than a sp² carbon as found in **1** and **3**.

Experimental Section



General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were measured on a Jasco-DIP-700 polarimeter at the sodium line (589 nm). UV spectra were obtained on a Hewlett-Packard 8453 spectrophotometer, and IR spectra were measured as a thin film on a CaF₂ disk using a Perkin-Elmer 1600 series FTIR. NMR spectra were acquired on a Varian Inova 500 MHz spectrometer operating at 500 (¹H) or 125 (¹³C) MHz using the residual solvent signals as an internal reference (CD₃OD δ_{H} 3.30 ppm, δ_{C} 49.0 ppm). Samples were in 3 mm Shigemi tubes during NMR analyses. High-resolution mass spectrometry data were obtained on an Agilent LC-MSTOF with ES ionization in the positive mode. Gradient separations used a Shimadzu system consisting of LC-20AT solvent delivery modules, a SPD-M20A VP diode photodiode array detector, and a SCL-20A VP system controller. TLC analyses were performed on Si₆₀F₂₅₄ plates and visualized under UV or by heating after spraying with a 1% anisaldehyde solution in acetic acid/H₂SO₄ (50:1). Samples were weighed on a Mettler Toledo analytical balance.

Biological Material. The sponge was collected from a coral reef habitat at a depth of approximately 20 m from the sea bed surface, at

Table 3. ^{13}C NMR Spectroscopic Data (125 MHz, δ_{C}) for Compounds **1–3**, **5**, **6**, and **8**

	1	2	3	5	6	8
C-1	72.5	72.5	75.2	71.3	72.5	146.0
C-2	48.5	48.5	51.2	47.1	48.5	122.1
C-3	68.6	68.6	76.5	67.8	68.6	19.9
C-4	29.9	29.9	31.5	28.6	29.9	18.5
C-5	35.9	35.9	39.0	34.8	36.2	30.0
C-6	39.6	39.5	39.1	38.2	39.7	38.5
C-7	142.5	142.8	142.2	143.9	142.8	149.1
C-8	148.7	148.6	148.2	147.9	148.6	145.0
C-9	178.4	178.5	178.1	176.4	178.4	173.5
C-10	130.4	129.8	130.1	132.5	131.3	142.1
C-11	123.7	124.1	123.4	127.8	128.4	130.0
C-12	125.2	125.0	125.1	137.6	134.2	132.0
C-13	157.3	156.5	157.0	121.6	121.3	130.4
C-14	106.0	109.2	106.2	126.4	128.0	127.5
C-15	130.2	130.6	130.1	105.7	111.0	129.5
C-16	120.8	119.1	120.6	154.8	154.2	128.1
C-17	137.6	137.9	137.1	130.4	127.9	135.9
C-18	126.1	125.9	126.6	119.3	120.9	124.9
C-19	149.2	149.4	149.2	145.9	147.3	147.8
C-20	26.0	26.0	25.8	25.6	26.2	34.0
C-21	71.1		71.0			

Sangalaki, Indonesia ($2^{\circ}04'59''$ N, $118^{\circ}23'41''$ E) on March 17, 1996. The sponge forms thick regular mounds and branches and has a relatively smooth surface. The mounds and branches are tough but have a crumbly texture. The external and internal coloration in life is dark reddish-brown. The skeleton is composed of robust rounded meshes formed by thick tracts of robust oxeas $220\text{--}260\ \mu\text{m}$ long. The sponge is a species of *Xestospongia* (order Haplosclerida: family Petrosiidae), which has been referred to previously as *X. subtriangularis* (Duchassaing et Michelotti, 1864) by Desqueyroux-Faundez (1987) for New Caledonian specimens. The species name is currently considered to be invalid and restricted to sponges in the western central Atlantic, from where the species was first described. Voucher specimens have been deposited in the Natural History Museum, London (BMNH 2009.8.12.1-2).

**Figure 2.** Selected ROESY correlations of **1** and **3** along with key $^3J_{\text{H,H}}$ values (dashed lines).

percent of the positive control using a nonlinear regression analysis function that corresponded to a best one-fit model.

Acknowledgment. This work was funded by grants to P.G.W. from the Victoria S. and Bradley L. Geist Foundation (20070461), the Alzheimer's Association NIRG-08-90880, Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation (281204), the National Institute of Aging (R20072671), and ongoing funding for biodiversity research by the Foundation for Research Science & Technology (contract C01X0219) to the National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research (NIWA). Funds for the upgrades of the NMR instrumentation were provided by the CRIF program of the National Science Foundation (CH E9974921) and the Elsa U. Pardee Foundation. The purchase of the Agilent LC-MS was funded by grant W911NF-04-1-0344 from the Department of Defense.

Supporting Information Available: Copies of the ^1H , ^{13}C , and 2D NMR spectroscopic data for all new compounds associated with this article and a photo of the producing organism are available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

References and Notes

- (1) Schmitz, F. J.; Bloor, S. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 3922–3925.
- (2) Cao, S.; Foster, C.; Brisson, M.; Lazo, J. S.; Kingston, D. G. I. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *13*, 999–1003.
- (3) Kobayashi, J.; Hirase, T.; Shigemori, H.; Ishibashi, M.; Bae, M.-A.; Tsuji, T.; Sasaki, T. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1992**, *55*, 994–998.
- (4) Roll, D. M.; Scheuer, P. J.; Matsumoto, G. K.; Clardy, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 6177–6178.
- (5) Nakamura, H.; Kobayashi, J.; Kobayashi, M.; Ohizumi, Y.; Hirata, Y. *Chem. Lett.* **1985**, *14*, 713–716.
- (6) Alvi, K. A.; Rodriguez, J.; Diaz, M. C.; Moretti, R.; Wilhelm, R. S.; Lee, R. H.; Slate, D. L.; Crews, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 4871–4880.
- (7) Millán-Aguñaga, N.; Soria-Mercado, I. E.; Williams, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 751–753.
- (8) Kobayashi, M.; Shimizu, N.; Kyogku, Y.; Kitagawa, I. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1985**, *33*, 1305–1308.
- (9) Kubota, T.; Kon, Y.; Kobayashi, J. *Heterocycles* **2008**, *76*, 1571–1575.
- (10) Rings labeled according to: Harada, N.; Uda, H.; Kobayashi, M.; Shimizu, N.; Kitagawa, I. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1989**, *111*, 5668–5674.
- (11) Ali, M. S.; Kausar, F.; Malik, A. *J. Chem. Soc. Pak.* **2001**, *23*, 180–182.
- (12) Naqvi, T. *J. Biomol. Screen.* **2004**, *9*, 398–408.

NP100203X